Generative Artificial Intelligence Policy

The journal recognizes the opportunities and challenges presented by the rapid development of **Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI)** services for scholarly publishing. To provide transparency to the authors, editors, reviewers, and readers, the journal follows the **Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)** policies in their **Position Statement**.

GenAI tools cannot meet the authorship requirements as they cannot take responsibility for the submitted work. As non-legal entities, they cannot assert the presence or absence of conflicts of interest nor manage copyright and license agreements.

Authors who use AI tools in the writing of a manuscript, production of images or graphical elements of the paper, or in the collection and analysis of data, must be transparent in disclosing in the Materials and Methods and/or Acknowledgement section of the paper how the AI tool was used and which tool was used. Authors are fully responsible for the content of their manuscript, even those parts produced by an AI tool, and are thus liable for any breach of publication ethics.

Reviewers should not use GenAI tools during the review stage. Reviewers are solely responsible for the contents of their reports. Some limited use to improve the written quality of a peer review report may be acceptable. Under no circumstances should reviewers upload manuscripts, either in whole or in part, images, figures, tables, or any communication relating to manuscripts under review to any GenAI tools. The report will be discarded if the GenAI tools have been inappropriately used to prepare a review report.

Academic editors must not use GenAI tools during the editorial review or decision-making. Under no circumstances should academic editors upload manuscripts in whole or in part, images, figures, tables, or any communication relating to manuscripts under review to any GenAI tools.